UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/722,174	11/25/2003	MacKenzie King	ATMI-688	7009
ATMI, INC.	7590 · 03/23/200		EXAMINER	
7 COMMERCE			SMITH, NICHOLAS A	
DANBURY, CT 06810			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1742	· -
			•	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		03/23/2007	PAPER	

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/722,174	KING ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
71 MAII NO DATE (11:	Nicholas A. Smith	1742				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status .						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 December 2006.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14-34</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14-34</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
•						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail D  5) Notice of Informal F					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/722,174

Art Unit: 1742

### **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

#### Status of Claims

1. Claims 14-30 remain for examination

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 14-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reid (US Patent 6,458,262) in view of Etherington (US Patent 6,231,743).
- 4. In regards to claim(s) 14-34, Reid in view of Etherington is applied to the claims for the same reasons as stated in paragraphs 6-14 of the previous office action.
- 5. In regards to claim(s) 14 amendment "said computational module being adapted for coupling in signal processing, monitoring and control relationship with the electrochemical deposition system when said electrochemical deposition system is arranged with the wafer being plated constituting a cathode element of an electrochemical cell including said copper plating anode, and said computational module being arranged to process an electrode parameter of said wafer as said wafer-based independent variable in said regression analysis," Reid in view of Etherington teaches such a computational module adapted for coupling the claimed limitations as stated in paragraph 6 of the previous office

Art Unit: 1742

action. Furthermore, Etherington discloses a control array and a sensing array that are coupled with a computational module that can meet the claimed limitations (col. 2, lines 30-34; col. 4, lines 6-7). Furthermore, Etherington discloses plating current is an electrode parameter of said wafer-based independent variable and thus meets the claimed limitation (col. 2, lines 25-34). Furthermore, Etherington discloses wherein the electrochemical deposition system is arranged with the wafer being plated constituting a cathode element of an electrochemical cell (col. 1, lines 21-26; Fig. 1; col. 4, lines 49-65).

### Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 15 December 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In regards to Applicant's argument that Etherington teaches away from the claims, Applicant's is reminded that Etherington teaches that the cathode and wafer are coupled by at least a seed layer (col. 4, lines 49-65). In regards to Applicant's argument that Etherington teaches a sensing unit as part of the plating system's diffuser plate teaches away from the claimed invention, Applicant is reminded that such a sensing unit is still related to a computation module that controls/monitors an electrode parameter (plating current) as a wafer-based independent variable. Furthermore, Etherington discloses a sensing array wherein it is coupled to the cathode and the wafer (col. 4, lines 6-7; col. 4, lines 49-65). Wherein Applicant argues that Reid does not specifically disclose a computation module capable of controlling via a wafer-based independent variable, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of

Application/Control Number: 10/722,174

Art Unit: 1742

references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

Page 4

#### Conclusion

- 7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).
- 8. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nicholas A. Smith whose telephone number is (571)-272-8760. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM, Monday through Friday.
- 10. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on (571)-272-1244. The fax

Art Unit: 1742

phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

11. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

NAS

HOY KING PAGE TO STORE TO STOR